



# Kinematic model and drawbody in underground mining process

# F. Vivanco<sup>1</sup>, C. Fuentes<sup>2</sup>, V. Apablaza<sup>2</sup> & , F. Melo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidad de Santiago de Chile, CIMAT <sup>2</sup>IM2, Codelco, Chile







• Goal:

Develop a simple tool to help in optimizing ore recovery reducing dilution in underground mines.

• Approach:

Kinematic model, laboratory scale experiment validation.







- Large scale production. Low cost method applicable in weak ore, unsafe to mine with other techniques (1000M ton., cost US\$ 1000M, profit US\$ 6000M).
- Conditions. Massive ore bodies, large dimensions, rock mass breaking into manageable size block.





# **Block caving**



• Description. Gravity+rock stresses fracturing rock mass. Minimal drilling and blasting.

• **Problems.** Lots pre-ore recovery, ore dilution<sup>1,2</sup> extraction, surface disturbance.

# Block design and operation optimization



Courtesy of C. Fuentes

<sup>1</sup>tonnes waste rock mined/tonnes ore mined <sup>2</sup>tonnes waste rock mined/tonnes ore+waste mined





# Drawbody models



• Bergmark-Roos

$$r(\theta,t) = r_0(\theta) - \frac{1}{2}a_r(\theta)t^2$$

$$\rho = \rho_0 \frac{r_0^2}{r^2}$$

$$r_{\rm D}$$
Friendler
Friedler
Fr



#### Drawbody models



• Plasticity<sup>1</sup>

$$v_r = -\frac{v_0 r_D^2}{r^2} f(\theta)$$
$$\rho = \rho_0$$

<sup>1</sup>Velocity distribution is obtained from stress distribution in static material



#### Drawbody volume



#### Bergmark-Roos $\Omega_0 = \frac{\pi}{6} (1 - \cos \theta_G) \left[ r_{\max}^3 - r_D^3 + r_{\max}^2 r_D + r_{\max} r_D^2 \right]$ Bergmark-Roos D = 0.57 0 $\sum_{r_{\max} >> r_D} \Omega_0 = \frac{\pi}{6} (1 - \cos \theta_G) r_{\max}^3$ Plasticity model $\cos(\pi\theta/(2^*\theta_c))$ D = 0.57 1.2 Plasticity model cos(θ)-cos(θ<sub>C</sub>) D = 0.57 Plasticity 0.8 $\Omega_0 = \frac{\pi}{3} (r_{\text{max}}^3 - r_D^3) \left[ \frac{\cos^2 \theta_G - 2\cos \theta_G + 1}{1 - \cos \theta_G} \right]^{\frac{1}{N}}$ 04 $\Omega_0 = \frac{2\pi}{3} \left\| \frac{r_{\text{max}}^3 - r_D^3}{(\pi/2\theta_c)^2 - 1} \right\| \frac{\pi}{2\theta_c} \sin \theta_G - 1 \right\|$ 2D/r -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.2 0.4 0 0.6 x/r max



#### **Kinematic model**



Nedderman and Tüzun, Powder Technol. 22, 243 (1979)

$$v_{x} = -D_{P} \frac{\partial v_{y}}{\partial x} \Longrightarrow \frac{\partial v_{y}}{\partial y} = D_{P} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{y}}{\partial x^{2}} \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial z} = D_{P} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial r} \right) \right]$$

- Velocity distribution in rectangular hopper.
- Stationary conditions.
- Loose packing state.
- Dilation when dense packing systems start to flow.





20

# Single drawpoint extraction

Particles streamline

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x, y, D, Q)$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = g(x, y, D, Q)$$
• Condition for drawbody
$$R(x_0, y_0, D) = Qt$$

$$30$$
  
 $40$   
 $20$   
 $-10$   
 $0$   
 $x$  [cm]



## **Drawpoints interaction**



- Extraction: simultaneous or alternating
- Open question: How drawpoint interact?
- Drawpoints distribution is based on common belief.
- Description simplified by linearity of kinematic model.

















#### • Alternating extraction





# Experiment & model



#### Pure kinematic model

- Good agreement close to the aperture.
- Theoretical prediction fails at higher vertical positions.
- Area of deflection is equal to extracted material (constant density hypothesis.) Not fulfilled in experiments.
- Dilatancy effect must be considered.

F. Melo et al, to be published in IJRMMS (2006).





# **Experiment & model**



• Kinematic model + dilatancy

$$\frac{\partial v^n}{\partial y} = D(v_T) \frac{\partial^2 v^n}{\partial x^2} + f(v_T, d) v^n$$

DILATION

$$f(v_T, d) = -\alpha_0 \exp(-\frac{|v_T|}{d}) \frac{v^n}{d}$$





# Summary



- Model in use (B-R) presents unphysical increase of density.
- More physical models, Kinematic and plasticity, are suitable to describe drawbody and loosening shape.
- Simple kinematic model captures characteristics found in single drawpoint extraction.
- Interactions of drawpoint can be described by kinematic model.
- Divergence between kinematic model and experiments can be surpassed introducing a dilatancy term.
- Kinematic model can be used as a simple tool to optimize draw spacing and draw strategy in underground mining.
- We believe even in the worst case it is enough to characterize a single drawpoint experimentally and use linear superposition to get insights on flows interactions.



# Ongoing research



• Lab. scale ore stock experiment.







# Ongoing research



- Full scale ore stock experiment.
- 1100M ton. Stock capacity
- 500K ton. Production, 3 months duration.
- Local measure accessibility





